

## Executive Summary

<b>Title of research work</b>	: Assessment of Farm Typologies for Targeting Extension Intervention Applying Multidimensional Analysis and Geographic Information System in Agro-climatic Zone IV of Jharkhand
<b>Name of research scholar</b>	: Mr. Aditya
<b>Registration number</b>	: 054/PHD/AG/17-18
<b>Name of the chairperson</b>	: Dr. Debabrata Basu
<b>Department</b>	: Agricultural Extension
<b>Institution where submitted</b>	: Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, Dist.-Nadia, West Bengal-741252, India
<b>Degree to be awarded</b>	: Ph.D. (Agriculture) in Agricultural Extension
<b>Year of submission and award of degree</b>	: 2020

Typology development is an essential step towards a more realistic evaluation of the constraints and opportunities faced by the farmers resulting in proper deployment of technological solutions. It also leads to the identification of factors towards the adoption/ rejection of technologies. The farming systems in developing countries could be assumed to as heterogeneous due to a variety of factors in the biophysical (e.g., climate, soil fertility, slope, etc.) and socio-economic (e.g., preferences, prices, production goals, etc.) domain. The doctoral study classified the farms taking the economic returns from the farm and non-economic factors into consideration for a more effective generalization of the farming typologies in agro-climatic Zone IV of Jharkhand. The agro-climatic zone of Jharkhand (Eastern Plateau and Hilly region) comprises of three sub-zones (Zone-IV, V, and VI). Zone IV (Central and northeastern plateau) was purposively selected for the study. With the help of GIS and panel data, various agro-climatic situations in Zone IV were identified along with the development of land use/ land cover map. From the selected agro-climatic situations, one representative bigger land parcels (village) were selected based on random sampling. Total enumeration of all the plots under the village and their operators (cultivators) were performed for the collection of primary data. The three villages selected for the study were Borma village in Godda district, Rakshi village in Sahibganj district, and Asanbani village in Dumka district of Jharkhand. A total of 394 respondents from the three villages were selected through the complete

enumeration technique. A complete enumeration-based survey with the developed interview schedule was conducted in the three villages to provide comprehensive statistical coverage over space and time. The study was conducted during January – June 2019 and covered all the farming households of the identified villages. The socio-personal, economic and biophysical variables related to the farming household and the operational farming land were captured in detail along with the geographic coordinates of the individual farmland, wherever possible.

In the first step, the geo-information system data was analyzed from the three villages to develop the Land Use/ Land Cover (LULC) map in order to understand the changing use of the natural and human-made resources at the disposal of the farmers and government. The maps provide a bird's eye view that could be used for efficient selection, planning, and implementation of policy initiatives in agriculture for the optimum utilization and sustainable management. The change detection analysis identified five distinct classes: fallow land, agriculture, forest, buildup area, and water body. The data for the study collected from the LISS III Ortho satellite data, National Remote Sensing Center, Hyderabad and Landsat (United States Geographical Survey) data. The ground-truthing of the remote-sensing data was further conducted for better interpretation and analysis.

The identification of typologies involved two multivariate statistical techniques, namely the principal component analysis (PCA) and the cluster analysis (CA). A total of 21 different variables related to socio-economic and biophysical features of the farms were used for the analysis using PCA. In PCA, the six principal components with eigenvalues greater than one were retained for further analysis. These six PCs explained 73.07 % of the total variability in the dataset. The first component explained 30.21 % variance in the dataset and is correlated with the income obtained from crops, crop diversification index, system cost of cultivation, gross system return, and system net return. Thus, the component represents income from crops and high resource farmers with more significant income generation. The second component explained 15.92 % variance and is correlated with educational index, total hired and family labour employed on the land, income from cattle, and crop diversification. In this way, this component explains the income from cattle, intensification of labour on the land and educational attainment. The third principal component explained 9.74 % variance and is correlated with the age of the farmer, the

number of years devoted to farming and income from pension schemes of the government. The fourth component explained 6.29 % variance and is correlated with total area owned by the farmer and income from other sources (off source income). The fifth component explained 5.55 % variance and is correlated with household size and years for which the farmer is educated. The last component explained 5.33 % variance and is correlated with income from animals and small ruminants. In this way, the six principal components could be named as 'income from crop and net income from the system' (PC1), 'crop diversification and intensification of labour' (PC2), 'experience in farming and income from pension' (PC3), 'off-source income and total operational farm area' (PC4), 'education and household size' (PC5), and 'income from livestock' (PC6). The first six factors obtained from the Principal Component Analysis were used for further analysis using Euclidean Distance as distance measure and Ward's technique as agglomerative clustering to form four clusters which were found to be representative of the farm households in the three villages. Further, the four clusters were employed with k-means clustering method to produce final clusters centers. The final cluster centers provide an interpretation of the typical features of the particular cluster. The first cluster (Cluster 1) is characterized by the diversification of crops and intensification of labour. A total of 88 farm households from the Borma village is representative of this cluster. The income from livestock characterizes cluster 2. A total of 38 farm households are identified in such cluster with 19 farm household in Borma village, 10 in Raksi and 9 in Asanbani village. Cluster 3 represents farms with higher income from cropping system along with off-source income. A total of 67 farm households are identified in this cluster with 11 farm households in Borma village, 53 in Raksi and 3 in Asanbani village. The last cluster (Cluster 4) represents the senior farmers with education and farming experience along with a sustained income through pension. This is the largest cluster with a total of 201 farm households distributed in Raksi (161 households) and Asanbani (40 households) village. In all of the identified clusters, the contribution of several other factors in varying proportions like income from crop and income from livestock was also identified. The authenticity of the cluster analysis was examined by conducting a one-way analysis of variance for each of the principal components (equality of group mean scores). It was concluded by observing the p-value nearing to .00 ( $p=0.000$  for all the factors) that the components are significant in differentiating various clusters.

The validation of the four clusters developed from the typology-based study and fitting of the agricultural technologies with the clusters was undertaken through qualitative methods. The qualitative tool utilized for this study was the focused group discussions (FGD) and participatory workshops. The FGDs were organized with eight key-informants in each of the three villages for the validation of the clusters developed in the study with the farmers own criterion while the participatory workshops were organized with the same key-informants from each of the villages to understand the fitting of the agricultural technologies to each of the identified clusters. The technologies so fitted in the three villages show the technology's suitability to the cluster's farmers. It also helps target the agricultural intervention for the particular group of farmers taking their choices, needs, and prevailing conditions. The organizations responsible for scaling farming operations could further characterize and validate the typologies for informed decisions on prioritizing extension decisions like offering training programs to a particular group of farmers for better results. The typologies are subject to change for a few to several years. Such studies need to be conducted regularly to target the extension interventions most appropriately. In this way, the farmers can truly become *Aatma nirbhar* (self-reliant) and can produce based on their choice, interest, and capacity to develop the country on the whole.